

Research Overview

Flume Investigation of Hydraulics of Nature-like Patchy Vegetation

Authors: Hafiza Aisha KHALID, Kaisa VÄSTILÄ and Juha JÄRVELÄ



This presentation builds on findings from our recent study, accepted for publication and forthcoming in: Khalid et al. (2025), Incorporating the blockage effect of flexible woody vegetation patches in flow resistance analyses, *Acta Geophysica*

Presentation Contents

Introduction

Research Objectives

Methodology

Results

Conclusion

Introduction (1)

- Natural growth pattern of riverine and floodplain vegetation
- Balance approach to maintain vegetation
- Alteration of flow regime and impacts of patch characteristics
- Limitations of existing research



Patchy Vegetation in (a) Luther Water near Laurencekirk, Scotland (b) Evrotas River, Greece and (c) River Hooke in Dorset, UK





Sources of figure: (a) Carbonari et al. 2022, (b) LIFE project by Nikolaos Nikolaidis, (c) Environmental Agency

Introduction (2)

- The size, density and submergence of riparian patches found in nature varies significantly.
- Controlled laboratory studies allow for in-depth exploration of patchiness effects on flow resistance under various hydraulic conditions.



Figure: Woody riparian vegetation patch: 265m long, 80m wide, Naeseongcheon Stream, South Korea during (a) low flow and (b) flooded condition (white arrow indicates flow direction)

Picture Source : Inhyeok Bae et al., 2023 Blockage effect of emergent riparian vegetation patches on river flow, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2024.131197.

Research Objectives



Novelty of the research arises from the realistic representation of natural patchy vegetation for hydraulic experimentation
Current knowledge is limited to aquatic vegetation & evenly distributed flexible nriparian vegetation

regetation

Methodology – Flume Experiments Setup (1)

(a)

L9W2

- Two reach scale LAI (1.0 and 2.4)
- 6 different patch shape (3 for each LAI case)
- 6 velocity conditions for each patch setup
- Two submergence levels for each velocity



400









Figure: Khalid et al. (2025), Incorporating blockage effect of flexible woody vegetation patches in flow resistance analyses (accepted for publication)

Methodology – Flume Experiments Setup (2)



Results (1)

Vegetative friction factors *f*" as a function of mean velocity for two submergences



Figure: Khalid et al. (2025), Incorporating blockage effect of flexible woody vegetation patches in flow resistance analyses (accepted for publication)

Results (2)

0.8 Ratio of f" of various patch ☆ 0.6 (LAI 1.0) setups **f**'' \bigcirc ″(LAI 2.4) \bigcirc categorized for 0.4 similar patch shapes and two 0.2 L9W2 (LAI 1.0) / L9W3 (LAI 2.4) , $h/h_d \approx 2$ L3W4 (LAI 1.0) / L4W4 (LAI 2.4) , $h/h_d \approx 2$ L9W2 (LAI 1.0) / L9W3 (LAI 2.4) , $h/h_d \approx 1$ submergences L3W4 (LAI 1.0) / L4W4 (LAI 2.4) , $h/h_d \approx 1$ 52 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 *u_m* (m/s)

1.0

(c)

Figure: Khalid et al. (2025), Incorporating blockage effect of flexible woody vegetation patches in flow resistance analyses (accepted for publication)

0.7

Results (3)

0	L3W4	L9W2	Δ	L5W2
۰	L4W4	L9W3	▲	L6W2

Vegetated
resistance f" as a
function of static
and dynamic 6
4function of static
and dynamic2
0planform $A_{b,p}$ area
categorized by the
two hydraulic3.0two hydraulic
submergences ${}^2_{15}$



Hafiza Aisha Khalid

9.6.2025

10

A!

Conclusion

Vegetative friction factor is controlled by LAI, but the relationship is not linear as previously reported for uniformly distributed vegetation.

The influence of LAI remains dominant, regardless of submergence level.

Patch projection areas are strongly influenced by flow velocity and shape, while the effect of submergence is comparatively minor.



Kiitos aalto.fi